

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
	RATHLEEN M WILLIAMS PALMER AND DUDGE LLP		M Saserinja	
			EXAMINER DECLOUX : A	
	ONE BEACON STREET BOSTON MA 02108		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

	CATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	NTOR	A	TTORNEY DOCKE	T NO.
				٦ [EXAMINER		
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUM	MBER
					DATE MAILED:	10	
	The amendm	ent filed on 5/4 der 37 CFR 1.121, a	Compliant Amendme	mpliant bec	ause it has not beer	n submitted in	
<u>d)</u>	•	dment does not inclu	ide a clean version of the replacemen	nt paragrap	h(s)/section(s).		W.
	2. The amenda 37 CFR 1.12		ide a marked-up version of the repla	cement par	agraph(s)/section(s).	
	3. The amen	dment does not inclu	nde a clean version of the amended c	laim(s). 37	CFR 1.121(c)(1)(i)		
_	4. The amen	dment does not inclu	ade a marked-up version of the amen	ded claim(s). 37 CFR 1.121(c)	(1)(ii)	
	5. Other					· .	
	with revised may commo	137 CFR 1.121 wi	ENT: Unless applicant re-submits thin ONE MONTH of the mail d of the originally proposed preliming this ONE MONTH time limit	ate of this I	etter, examinationdment. This noti	n on the merits	
	fide, applic	ant is given a TIM	N-FINAL ACTION: Since the all E PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTE is longer, within which to supply	or THIR	TY (30) DAYS fi	om the mailing	

AND ARCHION

Legal Instruments Examiner

The A sheart Builder

Changes to the Patent Rules

October 20, 2000

Volume 1, Issue 3

This is the third in a series of Patent News Bulletins to assist you in keeping up to date with significant rule changes which affect your area.

Keep this copy to use as a bookmark for your present MPEP, or view this bulletin again on the USPTO Website.



Simplified Amendment Practice.

Replacement paragraphs/sections/claims to be used. 37 CFR 1.121

The rule package
"Changes to the Patent
Business Goals - Final
Rule," published in the
Federal Register on
September 8, 2000, 65
Fed. Reg. 54603 (Sept.
8, 2000), and the Official
Gazette on
September 19, 2000,
1238 Off Gaz. Pat. Of-

Gazette on
September 19, 2000,
1238 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 77 (September 19,
2000). The PBG rule
backage makes a number
of revisions to Title 37.

The entire final rule may be found at the USPTO Website at http:// www.uspto.gov/web/ offices/dcom/olia/pbg/ index.html.

Areas and individuals primarily affected by this rule change include:
(I)Patent Examiners and Tech Support Staff in the Technology Centers
(2) Office of Patent Publication

inis change in practice onouid be directed to Joe Narcavage, Special Projects Exr., (703-305-1795) or Liz. Dougherty, Legal Advisor, (703-306-3156)

Mandatory compliance with the revised rule is not required until March 1, 2001. It is suggested that applicants adopt the revised procedures on or after November 7, 2000, in order to adjust to the changes in amendment practice.

Under the new amendment practice, amendments to the specification must be made by the submission of clean new or replacement paragraph(s), section(s), specification, or claim(s). This practice will provide a specification (including claims) in clean, or substantially clean, form that can be effectively captured and converted by optical character recognition (OCR) scanning during the patent printing process.

The new practice requires applicant to provide, in addition to the clean version of a replacement paragraph/section/claim, a marked-up version using applicant's choice of a conventional

marking system to indicate the changes, which will aid the examiner in identifying the changes that have been made. The marked-up version must be based on the previous version and indicate (by markings) how the previous version has been modified to produce the clean version submitted in the current amendment. The term

(in the application as originally filed or from a previously entered amendment.

A come of the median the resolution

The following format is suggested in an amendment paper: (1) a clean version of each replacement paragraph/section/claim with clear instructions for entry; (2) starting on a separate page, any remarks/arguments (37 CFR 1.111); and (3) starting on a separate page, a marked-up

version entitled "Version with markings to show changes made."

Applicants will also be able to submit a clean set of all pending claims, consolidating all previous versions of pending claims from a series of separate amendments into a single clean version in a single amendment paper. This submission of a clean version of all of the pending claims will be construed as directing the cancellation of all previous versions of any pending claims. No marked-up version will be required to accompany the clean version where no changes other than the consolidation are being made.

Amendment by ex

paragraph/claim fil

replacement in clean form.

The amended rule encourages issuance of applications with an examiner's amendment without practitioners/applicants having to file a formal amendment. Additions or deletions of subject matter in the specification, including the claims, may continue to be

made in an examiner's amendment at the time of allowance by instructions to make any change at a precise location in the specification or the claims. An examiner's amendment may incorporate a printed copy of a fax or email amendment submitted by applicant.

Only that part of the e-mail or fax directed'.

claim to be added should be printed and attached to the examiner's amendment, with a paper copy of the entire e-mail or fax being entered in the file. The electronic version of the e-mail is not required to be saved once the printed e-mail (and any attachments) becomes part of the application file record.

MPEP 714+ & 1302.04

